



Methoden für eine evidenzbasierte Agrarpolitik - Erfahrungen, Bedarf und Entwicklungen

Methods for an evidence-based agricultural policy -Experiences, demand and new developments

28. Jahrestagung der Österreichischen Gesellschaft für Agrarökonomie

gemeinsam veranstaltet mit dem

"Research Network on Economic Experiments for the CAP" (REECAP)

und der

Präkonferenz zum Thema "Evaluierung von Gleichstellung in Ländlichen Entwicklungsprogrammen"

Tagungsband 2018



Universität für Bodenkultur Wien 26.-28. September 2018

Protocol-based storylines for integrated assessments of future European agriculture

H. Mitter¹, M. Schönhart¹, F. Sinabell², A. Techen³, K. Helming³, B. Bodirsky⁴, I. Holman⁵,
K. Kok⁶, H. Lehtonen⁷, A. Leip⁸, H. Lotze-Campen^{4,14}, E. Mathijs⁹, B. Mehdi¹, ,M. Michetti¹⁰,
K. Mittenzwei¹¹, L. Øygarden¹¹, J. Priess¹², P. Reidsma⁶, R. Schaldach¹³, E. Schmid¹, H. Webber³

Abstract – Integrated assessments in agriculture often necessitate storylines to define socio-economic framework assumptions. They are available at global to continental scales but their spatial resolution and scope is insufficient for sectoral studies in agriculture at national to regional scales. We therefore aim at developing protocol-based storylines for European agriculture by extending and enriching global storylines. Consistency across spatial scales and sectors related to agriculture are maintained by following a nested approach. Stakeholders contribute to the research process in order to ensure usefulness and usability of the results. We present the innovative research design to generate storylines for European agriculture and give examples of storyline elements. The shared protocol increases transparency of how storyline elements are identified, prioritized and combined, improving comparability and consistency of integrated assessments within and across scales.

INTRODUCTION

Integrated assessments at various spatial scales typically require storylines to specify underlying framework assumptions such as agricultural policies or prices for intermediary products and agricultural outputs. The climate change research community developed such storylines, known as Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs), which are available at global to continental scales (Kriegler et al., 2012; Kok et al. 2018). The five contrasting SSPs describe major socio-economic developments and are used to parameterize integrated assessment models at large spatial scales. They can be linked to the Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs) which are typically used for climate impact modelling. A major challenge to apply SSPs and RCPs in integrated assessments on agriculture under climate change, however, is the mismatch in spatial scales and scope. The spatial resolution of SSPs and the level of detail with respect to socio-economic processes is insufficient for studies at national to regional level and for specific questions such as technology adoption.

A solution to this problem is to downscale and enrich SSPs to the level of concern. For instance, Valdivia et al. (2014) have developed the concept of Representative Agricultural Pathways (RAPs). Mathijs et al. (2018) present detailed storylines on the European food sector, which are based on SSPs. Nevertheless, these examples either remain at the conceptual level, are not fully consistent with SSPs or lack the details and scope necessary for many applications in Europe.

Multiple and uncoordinated bundles of storylines emerging in parallel create risks and miss opportunities. Risks include potential inconsistencies between the studies that apply storylines of different origins. Stakeholders may lack understanding and eventually get lost in a multitude of contrasting and potentially conflicting interpretations of SSPs, which may hamper decision making. A missed opportunity is to bundle research resources in order to create broadly accepted storylines available to the academic and non-academic communities.

The FACCE JPI knowledge hub MACSUR (www.macsur.eu) offered a networking platform to researchers interested in climate change studies in agriculture. Researchers from this network and others with similar interests are collaborating to jointly develop agricultural storylines at the European level, i.e. European Agricultural SSPs (EUR-Agri-SSPs) that are fully consistent with the global SSPs. Here, we present the research method to achieve such qualitative, explorative storylines as well as first results.

METHODS AND DATA

The stylized research design for defining EUR-Agri-SSPs is presented in Figure 1. It follows a nested approach from global to national levels, as suggested by Rosenzweig et al. (2016). Storyline elements are defined by combining inputs from stakeholders acting at the European level and results from a comprehensive literature review on existing storylines and potential future trends in land use. A database is

¹ University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences Vienna, BOKU (corresponding author: <u>hermine.mitter@boku.ac.at</u>), Austria

² Austrian Institute of Economic Research, WIFO, Austria

³ Leibniz Centre for Agricultural Landscape Research, ZALF, Landscape Research Synthesis, Germany

⁴ Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research, PIK, Germany

⁵ Cranfield University, UK

 ⁶ Wageningen University and Research, The Netherlands
 ⁷ Natural Resources Institute Finland, LUKE, Finland

⁸ European Commission, Joint Research Centre, Directorate for Sustainable Resources, Ispra Italy

⁹ University of Leuven, KU Leuven, Belgium

¹⁰ Centro Euro-Mediterraneo sui Cambiamenti Climatici, CMCC, Italy

¹¹ Norwegian Institute of Bioeconomy Research, NIBIO, Norway

¹² Helmholtz-Center for Environmental Research, UFZ, Germay

¹³ University Kassel, Germany

¹⁴ Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Germany

established to summarize and structure relevant storyline elements derived from the stakeholder process and the literature, which may be updated with insights from ongoing storyline development processes at regional or sub-sectoral levels. Contrasting qualitative, explorative storylines are constructed for European agriculture until 2050 by combining single storyline elements (i.e. drivers), whereby vertical consistency (i.e. EUR-Agri-SSPs are consistent with global SSPs) and horizontal consistency (i.e. consistency between storyline elements within a spatial entity) are essential.



Figure 1. Stylized research design for EUR-Agri-SSPs. Source: adapted from Schönhart et al. (2017)

Stakeholder engagement and contribution is crucial in the storyline development process to ensure that the thematic focus and selected storyline elements are legitimate, relevant, and useful in policymaking and research. Stakeholders have been selected by the team of researchers with the aim that a diversity of interests and positions related to the European agricultural sector is represented. Diversity is, e.g., reflected by involving non-scientific stakeholders from public, private, and non-governmental organisations or institutions who may apply storylines but mainly are in charge of interpreting and validating research results, and scientific stakeholders who may use the storylines for research methodologies.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Global or European SSPs underlie global, European, national and regional storylines, among others, for the agricultural sector. Some storyline elements defined in the global SSPs (e.g. energy costs) immediately inform global agricultural sector storylines and are not variable across space, as indicated by the first arrow from global SSPs to agricultural sector storylines in Figure 1. Other elements drive European level processes. For example, the EU Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) has continuously been adjusted to changes in international market conditions, trade standards and societal concerns. Some CAP regulation (e.g. direct payment schemes and greening requirements) as well as other EU policies (e.g. environmental legislation) are similar in most member states. This is indicated by the second column of arrows from global SSPs to EUR-Agri-SSPs. Other elements vary between member states due to local peculiarities and can be driven by socioeconomic, cultural and geo-biophysical conditions or any policies interfering with agricultural policies. For

instance, CAP Rural Development Programmes are adjusted to national and regional circumstances. This is indicated by the third and fourth columns of arrows. The first two columns contribute to the definition of the EUR-Agri-SSPs and are thus the focus of the joint research effort and this presentation. Major challenges in defining EUR-Agri-SSPs are the detection of drivers active at European level beyond EU boundaries and the specification of these drivers. They must be consistent within a single EUR-Agri-SSP, but contrasting among them. Drivers shall be detailed enough to inform integrated assessment tools without forestalling its results.

CONCLUSIONS

Many drivers influence the European agricultural sector. Therefore, a shared storyline definition of European developments form the basis for national, regional and sub-sectoral storylines. This would make national and regional studies more consistent and comparable and can save resources in research processes. It can facilitate a structured and goal oriented dialogue within the scientific community and beyond and prevent stakeholder fatigue from a large number of inconsistent storylines developed in parallel.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research results from the EUR-Agri-SSPs initiative. It is a collaboration of researchers funded by national and international projects, e.g. RAPs.AT (KR15AC8K12675, 8th Austrian Climate Research Program), BonaRes (031A608B, German Federal Ministry of Education and Research BMBF), SUSTAg (No.652615 & FKZ 031B0170A, ERA-NET FACCE SURPLUS by Horizon 2020 and BMBF), NIBIO climate forum (16/66633 – 2 by RCN and Norwegian Ministry of Agriculture and Food), and SureFarm (Grant No. 727520, EU Horizon 2020).

REFERENCES

Kok, K., et al. (2018). New European socio-economic scenarios for climate change research. Operationalising concepts to extend the Shared Socioeconomic Pathways. *Regional Environmental Change*. In Press.

Kriegler, E. et al. (2012). The need for and use of socio-economic scenarios for climate change analysis: A new approach based on shared socio-economic pathways. *Global Environmental Change*, 22, 807–822.

Mathijs, E., et al. (2018). Scenarios for EU farming. Deliverable 1.2, SURE-Farm project.

Rosenzweig, C. et al. (2016): Protocols for AgMIP Regional Integrated Assessments Version 6.1.

Schönhart, M. et al. (2017): Representative Agricultural Pathways (RAPs) for Austria: conceptual thoughts on its demand and stakeholder-driven development. *MACSUR Science Conference 2017*, 22-24 May 2017, Berlin.

Valdivia, R.O. et al. (2014). In: Rosenzweig, C. and Hillel, D. (eds.). *Handbook of Climate Change and Agroecosystems*, pp. 101–145. London: Imperial College Press.